

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| US 7,765,026 | FILING DATE 01/13/07 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR BARKATS | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. M ST94051-US |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
1300 I STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315

HZ12/0518

EXAMINER

GUZO, D

ART UNIT
1636

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/18/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

26

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David Jugo (3) _____
(2) Charles O. Niebylski (4) _____

Date of Interview 5/16/01

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative was informed of the status of the application. The CPA filed 1/29/01, was incomplete in that the necessary fees were not included. However, the office entered the CPA and a Final rejection was subsequently mailed on 2/14/01. The Final rejection will be vacated since it was in response to an improper request for a CPA. Applicant will need to remit the proper fees in order to have the CPA entered.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.